

# Kinetics of oxidation of hydrogen peroxide by dioxo-bridged manganese(III,IV) complexes

Rupendranath Banerjee,\* Biswapriya Mondal and Shibnath Kundu

Department of Chemistry, Jadavpur University, Calcutta-700 032, India

The dioxo-bridged manganese(III,IV) complexes  $[L_2Mn^{III}(\mu-O)_2Mn^{IV}L_2]^{3+}$  [ $L = 2,2'$ -bipyridine (bipy) **1** or 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) **2**] quantitatively oxidised  $H_2O_2$  to  $O_2$ , and were themselves reduced to  $Mn^{II}$ . The bipy complex follows biphasic kinetics but the phen complex follows a single exponential profile. For **1**, the mononuclear complex  $[Mn(bipy)_2(H_2O)(OH)]^{2+}$  is the intermediate, which is a solvolysis product of  $1^+$ , generated by two-electron reduction of  $1^{3+}$  by hydrogen peroxide. The reaction rate increased with increasing  $[H^+]$  and decreasing  $[L]$ . The aqua derivatives of **1** and **2** have greater kinetic activities than their respective parents. The reactions follow an inner-sphere mechanism though all other redox reactions studied so far for **1** and **2** proceed *via* an outer-sphere model.

The 2,2'-bipyridine (bipy) complex,  $[(bipy)_2Mn^{III}(\mu-O)_2Mn^{IV}(bipy)_2]^{3+}$  **1** and its 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) analogue  $[(phen)_2Mn^{III}(\mu-O)_2Mn^{IV}(phen)_2]^{3+}$  **2** are considered to be 'first-generation' models<sup>1</sup> of photosystem II. Both complexes are well characterised in the solid state<sup>2</sup> but they decompose in aqueous solutions<sup>2c,3</sup> unless stabilised in  $HL^+-L$  ( $L =$  bipy or phen) buffer in the range pH 4.0–6.0. Thus stabilised, the compounds are reasonably resistant against redox decomposition, but aquate partly<sup>4</sup> to  $[Mn_2(\mu-O)_2L_3(H_2O)_2]^{3+}$  ( $L =$  bipy **1a** or phen **2a**). Such solutions oxidise simple inorganic and organic reducing agents *via* an outer-sphere path; inner-sphere reactions are unknown. Oxidation reactions of hydrogen peroxide are generally inner sphere. Only very strong oxidising agents like  $[Ni(bipy)_3]^{3+}$  react *via* an outer-sphere mechanism. The binuclear manganese complexes **1** and **2** are mild oxidising agents<sup>2c,5</sup> and their reactions with  $H_2O_2$  are likely to follow inner-sphere paths. In this paper we explore this mechanistic possibility and thus contribute to the general understanding of the mechanism of redox reactions involving oxo-bridged complexes of high-valent manganese.

## Experimental

### Materials

The solutions of hydrogen peroxide were prepared by dilution of 30% (w/v) stabiliser-free hydrogen peroxide (G.R., E. Merck) and standardised by iodometry using starch indicator.<sup>6</sup> All other materials were described earlier.<sup>4,7</sup>

### Physical measurements and kinetics

Rapid kinetics of complex **1** was measured at  $25.0 \pm 0.1$  °C with a stopped-flow spectrophotometer (HITECH-500) interfaced with a personal computer for rapid data acquisition and analysis of absorbance *vs.* time data for rate constants. The slower kinetics of the phenanthroline complex was measured *in situ* in the thermostatted ( $25.0 \pm 0.1$  °C) cell housing (CPS 240A) of a Shimadzu (UV-1601PC) spectrophotometer. The monitoring wavelength was 410 nm, where the reaction products and the buffers absorb negligibly. The reactions for the phenanthroline complex obeyed excellent first-order kinetics at least up to 90% reaction. The first-order rate constants,  $k_0$ , were evaluated as usual from least-squares analysis of  $A$  *vs.*  $t$  data. These data (512 data points were collected by the stopped-flow apparatus for each experiment) for the bipyridine complex gave an excellent fit using equation (1) for an irreversible biphasic consecu-

$$A = a_f [\exp(-k_f t)] + a_s [\exp(-k_s t)] + A_\infty \quad (1)$$

tive reaction. The program used for this purpose was based on a least-squares method and was provided with the stopped-flow apparatus. It led to  $a_f$ ,  $a_s$ ,  $A_\infty$ ,  $k_f$  and  $k_s$  (where  $k_f$ ,  $k_s$  are rate constants for the fast and slow reactions respectively and  $a_f$ ,  $a_s$  are corresponding amplitudes in units of absorbance).

The ionic strength was maintained at  $1.0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$  with  $NaNO_3$ . The pH values of solutions were measured using an Orion (710A) pH-meter with a calibrated electrode. The reaction mixtures were buffered in the range pH 4–6, with  $c_{bipy}$  ( $= [bipy] + [Hbipy^+]$ ) 2–10  $\text{mmol dm}^{-3}$  and  $c_{phen}$  ( $= [phen] + [Hphen^+]$ ) 3–12  $\text{mmol dm}^{-3}$ . All kinetic experiments were carried out under pseudo-first-order conditions with the reductant in large excess ( $10^2$ – $10^3$  times over **1** and 10–60 times over **2**).


All data, except for the stopped-flow  $A$  *vs.*  $t$  outputs, were analysed using the built-in least-squares program of a Casio (model fx 3600P) calculator, the reported errors are standard deviations.

### Stoichiometry

The reaction stoichiometries were measured under the kinetic conditions. An excess of hydrogen peroxide was mixed in a single portion with the manganese(III,IV) complexes. The unreacted hydrogen peroxide was estimated iodometrically.<sup>6</sup> Oxygen evolved in the reaction of 0.10 mmol complex with 0.50 mmol  $H_2O_2$  in a total volume of 100  $\text{cm}^3$  was collected by downward displacement of water in a microburette (5  $\text{cm}^3$ ). A parallel blank was run without the complex. Measured volumes were corrected to normal temperature and pressure as usual.

## Results and Discussion

Six independent measurements yielded a consumption ratio,  $\Delta[\text{complex}]:\Delta[H_2O_2] = 0.66 \pm 0.04$  and  $0.67 \pm 0.05$  for complexes **1** and **2** respectively. The corresponding  $[O_2]:[\text{complex}]$  ratio was  $1.5 \pm 0.1$  and  $1.45 \pm 0.05$ . The results indicate quantitative oxidation of  $H_2O_2$  to  $O_2$  according to equation (2). The



reactions involve a net three-electron change and must occur in steps. The observed biphasic kinetics also indicates a multistep reaction. In an attempt to detect any intermediate, 0.2  $\text{mmol dm}^{-3}$  of **1** in  $c_{bipy} = 10 \text{ mmol dm}^{-3}$  at pH 4.5 was mixed with 0.2

**Table 1** Representative kinetic data<sup>a</sup> for oxidation of hydrogen peroxide by [(phen)<sub>2</sub>Mn<sup>III</sup>(μ-O)<sub>2</sub>Mn<sup>IV</sup>(phen)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>

pH	10 <sup>3</sup> c <sub>L</sub> /mol dm <sup>-3</sup>	10 <sup>3</sup> [H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ]/mol dm <sup>-3</sup>	10 <sup>3</sup> k <sub>0</sub> /s <sup>-1</sup>
4.20	4.0	1.0	7.49 (7.42)
	5.0		6.66 (6.74)
	6.0		6.19 (6.23)
	7.0		5.86 (5.82)
	9.0		5.14 (5.21)
	9.0		5.12 <sup>b</sup>
	10.0 <sup>c</sup>		4.96 (4.98)
4.60	11.0	0.50	4.82 (4.78)
	6.0 <sup>c</sup>		2.25 (2.28)
4.0	10.0	0.75	3.45 (3.42)
		1.00	4.49 (4.57)
		1.50	6.79 (6.86)
		1.75	8.09 (8.00)
		2.00	9.15 (9.14)
		2.50	11.36 (11.42)
		4.11	6.01 (5.94)
		4.20	5.29 (5.38)
		4.31	4.96 (4.98)
		4.40	4.55 (4.53)
4.40	4.30 (4.27)		
4.52	3.87 (3.94)		
4.61	3.76 (3.74)		
4.73 <sup>c</sup>	3.61 (3.52)		
4.82	3.50 (3.40)		
4.91	3.20 (3.30)		
5.0	3.26 (3.20)		

<sup>a</sup> Average of at least three experiments; standard deviation, 2–5%. Reactions were carried out at 25.0 °C; [complex] = 0.05 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup>; I = 1.0 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> (NaNO<sub>3</sub>). <sup>b</sup> [Complex] varied in the range 0.05–0.2 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup>; k<sub>0</sub> varied within 3–5%. <sup>c</sup> Reaction medium purged with purified dinitrogen.


**Table 2** Representative kinetic data<sup>a</sup> for the oxidation of hydrogen peroxide by [(bipy)<sub>2</sub>Mn<sup>III</sup>(μ-O)<sub>2</sub>Mn<sup>IV</sup>(bipy)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> at 25.0 °C

pH	10 <sup>3</sup> c <sub>bipy</sub> /mol dm <sup>-3</sup>	10 <sup>3</sup> [H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ]/mol dm <sup>-3</sup>	k <sub>f</sub> /s <sup>-1</sup>	10k <sub>s</sub> /s <sup>-1</sup>
4.82	13.0	10.0	0.38 (0.34)	0.75 (0.74)
		20.0	0.66 (0.68)	1.45 (1.48)
		30.0	1.01 (1.02)	2.10 (2.22)
		40.0	1.33 (1.36)	3.00 (2.96)
		50.0	1.73 (1.73)	3.80 (3.70)
4.25	100.0 <sup>b</sup>	50.0	3.40 (3.40)	7.40 (7.41)
		2.0 <sup>b</sup>	12.6 (12.5)	31.2 (31.3)
		3.0	8.60 (8.57)	21.0 (21.2)
		4.0	6.80 (6.60)	16.1 (16.2)
		5.0	5.40 (5.41)	12.9 (13.2)
4.88	2.0	6.0	4.30 (4.62)	11.1 (11.15)
		6.0	6.85 (7.10)	18.3 (17.5)
4.71	3.0	5.50 (5.49)	14.2 (13.4)	
4.88	4.0 <sup>b</sup>	4.16 (3.89)	9.30 (9.29)	
5.19	5.0	2.87 (2.90)	6.60 (6.78)	
5.37	6.0	2.55 (2.45)	5.55 (5.60)	

<sup>a</sup> Average of at least three experiments; standard deviation, 3–5%. Reactions were carried out at 25.0 °C; [complex] = 0.1 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup> and I = 1.0 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> (NaNO<sub>3</sub>). <sup>b</sup> Reaction medium purged with purified dinitrogen.

mmol dm<sup>-3</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The resulting spectrum is distinctly different from that expected for equation (2) proceeding without any intermediate. The difference is specially noticeable at high wavelengths, where the manganese(III,IV) dimers exhibit a characteristic absorption band. Instead, the spectrum showed striking similarities to that<sup>8</sup> for [Mn(bipy)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)(OH)]<sup>2+</sup> **3** [Fig. 1(a)].

Tables 1 and 2 show some representative first-order rate constants. One can use the known k<sub>f</sub>, k<sub>s</sub> and ε value for complex **1** along with the parameters a<sub>f</sub>, a<sub>s</sub> and A<sub>∞</sub> to evaluate the ε for the intermediate (suggestion made by a referee). The value at 410 nm is close (110%) to the value known<sup>8</sup> for **3**. These observ-



**Fig. 1** (a) Known spectrum of [Mn(bipy)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)(OH)]<sup>2+</sup>. (b) The final spectrum of complex **1** mixed with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in 1:1 molar proportion: [complex], 0.2 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup>; c<sub>bipy</sub>, 10.0 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup>; [H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>], 0.2 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup>; pH, 4.50, 25.0 °C. (c) Spectrum of **1** (0.667 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) at pH, 4.50. (d) Spectrum (c) in the presence of 2.66 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup> Mn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, i.e. that expected for reaction without an intermediate

ations indicate that **1** is reduced by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> via the intermediate **3**. The first-order rate constants k<sub>0</sub>, k<sub>f</sub> and k<sub>s</sub> increase with increase in [H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>] according to equation (3) (x is o, f or s, as the case

$$k_x = p_x[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] \quad (3)$$

may be); there is no indication for rate saturation up to the highest [H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>] used. Increase in k<sub>x</sub> is apparent also with increase in [H<sup>+</sup>] but k<sub>x</sub> decreased with increase in c<sub>L</sub> and remained unchanged with change in [complex].

The variations of k<sub>f</sub> and k<sub>s</sub> with [bipy], the molar concentration of free bipyridine base, are nicely fit by equations (4a) and (4b) respectively (Fig. 2). The dependence of k<sub>0</sub> on [phen] is

$$k_f = \{a + (b/[\text{bipy}])\}[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] \quad (4a)$$



$$k_s = \{a' + (b'/[\text{bipy}])\}[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] \quad (4b)$$

more complex, but is fit by the linear relation (5). Here K<sub>2</sub>


$$k_0(K_2 + [\text{phen}]) = (c[\text{phen}] + d)[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] \quad (5)$$

(3.92 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) is the ligand dissociation constant<sup>7</sup> for complex **2**; c and d are constants.









Equation (8) and the literature value<sup>9</sup> for K<sub>Hbipy</sub> (10<sup>-4.42</sup> mol






$$[\text{L}] = K_{\text{HL}}c_L/(K_{\text{HL}} + [\text{H}^+]) \quad (8)$$



**Fig. 2** Plots of  $k_f$  and  $k_s$  against  $[\text{bipy}]^{-1}$ . [Complex],  $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ ,  $50 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $c_{\text{bipy}}$ ,  $2\text{--}6 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $I = 1.0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ; pH,  $4.25\text{--}5.37$ ;  $25.0^\circ\text{C}$



#### Scheme 1



#### Scheme 2

$\text{dm}^{-3}$ ) and  $K_{\text{Hphen}}$  ( $10^{-4.95} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ) yielded [L] corresponding to a given  $c_L$ .

The observed kinetics indicates no proton loss by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , which is a very weak acid. Nevertheless, the observed increase in rate with decreases in [L] clearly shows the involvement of a ligand-dissociation equilibrium (9). Earlier studies<sup>2c,3,4</sup> showed that these equilibria are an integral part of the solution chemistry of complexes **1** and **2**. Schemes 1 and 2 therefore appear to be logical explanations for the stoichiometric, kinetic and spectral observations.

**Table 3** Kinetic parameters at  $25.0^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $I = 1.0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$

$$k_1 \ 13.5 \pm 0.8 \quad k_1' \ 2.18 \pm 0.05 \quad k_2 \ 2.74 \pm 0.03 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

$$10^2 K_1 k_{1a} \ 19.1 \pm 0.9 \quad 10^2 K_1' k_{1a}' \ 4.87 \pm 0.3 \quad 10^3 K_2 k_{2a} \ 4.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$$

\*  $k_{2a} = 12.2 \pm 0.9 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  using  $K_2 = 3.92 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ .

In aqueous solution the two-electron reduced dimers  $\mathbf{1}^+$  and  $\mathbf{1a}^+$ , proposed in Scheme 1, are fragile<sup>10</sup> and dissociate irreversibly and rapidly to manganese(II) and -(III) monomers. Our kinetic results along with the synthetic and kinetic studies (see later) of Heyward and Wells<sup>8</sup> suggest that the intermediate manganese(III) monomer be present as **3** in equilibrium with **3a**. In contrast, manganese(III)-phenanthroline monomers are rare; the complexes  $[\text{Mn}(\text{phen})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{OH})]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Mn}(\text{phen})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\text{OH})]^{2+}$  are unknown and both of the known monomers,  $[\text{Mn}(\text{phen})\text{Cl}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{11}$  and  $[\text{Mn}(\text{phen})_2\text{Cl}_2]^{12}$ , are highly unstable in aqueous media. In Scheme 2 therefore we have not attributed kinetic significance to any intermediate manganese-phenanthroline monomer. This explains why monophasic kinetics is observed for  $\mathbf{2}^+$ : the reactions occur in steps, but only the first step is rate determining; all subsequent steps are rapid. Schemes 1 and 2 lead to equations (21)–(23). These are same as

$$k_f = (k_1[\text{bipy}] + K_1 k_{1a})[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]/(K_1 + [\text{bipy}]) \quad (21)$$

$$k_s = (k_1'[\text{bipy}] + K_1' k_{1a}')[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]/(K_1' + [\text{bipy}]) \quad (22)$$

$$k_o = (k_2[\text{phen}] + K_2 k_{2a})[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]/(K_2 + [\text{phen}]) \quad (23)$$

the empirical equations (4) and (5) for  $a = k_1$ ,  $b = K_1 k_{1a}$ ,  $a' = k_1'$ ,  $b' = K_1' k_{1a}'$ ,  $c = k_2$ ,  $d = K_2 k_{2a}$  and provided both  $K_1$  and  $K_1'$  are  $\ll [\text{bipy}]$ . The constants  $k_2$  and  $K_2 k_{2a}$  were evaluated from the least-squares intercept and slope of a plot of  $k_o/(K_2 + [\text{phen}])$  versus  $[\text{phen}]$  at constant  $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ . Similarly, the least-squares intercept and slope of  $k_f$  plotted against  $[\text{bipy}]^{-1}$  gave  $k_1$  and  $K_1 k_{1a}$ , while a plot of  $k_s$  versus  $[\text{bipy}]^{-1}$  gave  $k_1'$  and  $K_1' k_{1a}'$ . These constants (Table 3) reproduce  $k_x$  within 7% (see parenthetical values in Tables 1 and 2). The minimum value for  $[\text{bipy}]$  used in experiments was  $8.07 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ . We, therefore, set the upper limit for  $K_1$  and  $K_1'$  at  $8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ . This upper limit is 5 times smaller compared to the known  $K_2$ . This is indeed expected since the lower basicity of bipyridine suggests lower stability for its complex.

The aqua complexes **1a** and **2a** exhibit greater kinetic activity than their respective parents while reacting with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (Table 3),  $\text{NO}_2^-$ <sup>4,7</sup> and  $\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$ .<sup>13</sup> Aqua complexes exhibit greater activity also in several redox reactions of mononuclear chelates of high-valent manganese.<sup>14</sup> There may be four possible reasons for the higher reactivity: (i) replacement of a chelating ligand by a weaker donor like  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  makes the metal centre more open and more electron deficient; (ii) hydrogen bonding with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in the aqua complexes may stabilise the oxidant-reductant precursor; this is not possible for the parents; (iii) the metal-ligand bonds are more flexible in an aqua complex, which therefore faces a lower Frank-Condon barrier when reduced, and (iv) the reductant can approach an aqua species closer than the parent species.

The phenanthroline complexes are more rigid than the bipyridine complexes. One can expect that the Frank-Condon barrier for the bipy complex to be lower than that for its phen analogue. Accordingly, rate constants for the bipy complexes are higher.

Heyward and Wells<sup>15</sup> measured the stopped-flow kinetics for the oxidation of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  by  $[\text{Mn}(\text{bipy})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{OH})]^{2+}$  **3** in acid perchlorate media ( $[\text{H}^+]$ ,  $0.2\text{--}1.0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ). The rate constants reported by them are  $\approx 15\%$  higher than those measured by us in the range pH  $4.25\text{--}5.37$  but otherwise comparable conditions. Under the acidic conditions adopted by them the aqua complex

[Mn(bipy)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>(OH)]<sup>2+</sup> **3a** should be preponderant. Now, **3a** should be kinetically more active than **3**. Hence, the higher rate observed by Heyward and Wells is expected.

### Mechanism for electron transfer

All the earlier kinetics studied for complexes **1** and **2** fit well into an outer-sphere, one-electron transfer model. However the couple H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>–HO<sub>2</sub><sup>•</sup> (*E* = 1.7 V)<sup>16</sup> has a high thermodynamic barrier. These complexes and their aqua derivatives are weakly oxidising. Consequently, an outer-sphere mechanism is not possible with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> even if the self-exchange reaction for the couple H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>–HO<sub>2</sub><sup>•</sup> is diffusion controlled (*k* ≈ 10<sup>10</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>).

Inner-sphere complex formation occurs in many oxidation reactions of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by metal complexes like [Mn<sup>III</sup>(cdta)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sup>-</sup> (H<sub>4</sub>cdta = cyclohexane-1,2-diyldinitrilotetraacetic acid),<sup>17</sup> [Mn(bipy)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)(OH)]<sup>2+</sup><sup>15</sup> and Mn<sup>3+</sup>(aq).<sup>18,19</sup> Similar inner-sphere adduct formation followed by electron transfer appears logical in the present cases. Though there is no replaceable water molecule present in the [Mn<sub>2</sub>(μ-O)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>4</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> complexes, adduct formation with hydrogen peroxide can still occur through hydrogen bonding with the oxo-bridge. The distinction between inner- and outer-sphere reactions is not appropriate in this case because there is no substitution in the metal coordination sphere, but the concept of strong overlap is still appropriate.

### Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge financial assistance from the Department of Science and Technology (New Delhi), and the award of a junior research fellowship (to B. M.) by the University Grants Commission (New Delhi). Professor S. Mitra (Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai) kindly allowed R. B. to use the stopped-flow instrument at his laboratory.

### References

- 1 K. S. Hagen, W. H. Armstrong and H. Hope, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, **27**, 967; S. R. Cooper, G. C. Dismukes, M. P. Klein and M. Calvin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, **100**, 5248.

- 2 (a) R. S. Nyholm and A. Turco, *Chem. Ind. (London)*, 1960, 74; (b) P. M. Plaksin, R. C. Stoufer, M. Mathew and G. J. Palenik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, **94**, 2121; (c) S. R. Cooper and M. Calvin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1977, **99**, 6623; (d) M. Stebler, A. Ludi and H. B. Burgi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, **25**, 4743
- 3 R. Manchandra, G. W. Brudvig and R. H. Crabtree, *New J. Chem.*, 1994, **18**, 561; J. E. Sarneski, M. Didiuk, H. H. Thorp, G. W. Brudvig, R. H. Crabtree, J. W. Faller and G. K. Shulte, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 2833; J. E. Sarneski, H. H. Thorp, G. W. Brudvig, R. H. Crabtree and G. K. Shulte, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 7255.
- 4 S. Chaudhuri, S. Mukhopadhyay and R. Banerjee, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1995, 621.
- 5 H. H. Thorp, J. E. Sarneski, G. W. Brudvig and R. H. Crabtree, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 9248; H. H. Thorp, E. F. Bowden and G. W. Brudvig, *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.*, 1990, **290**, 293; R. Manchandra, H. H. Thorp, G. W. Brudvig and R. H. Crabtree, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **30**, 494.
- 6 A. I. Vogel, *Quantitative Inorganic Analysis*, 5th edn., English Language Book Society, London, 1989, p. 394.
- 7 S. Kundu, A. K. Bhattacharya and R. Banerjee, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1996, 3951.
- 8 M. P. Heyward and C. F. Wells, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 1987, **12**, 179.
- 9 G. F. Condike and A. E. Martell, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 1969, **31**, 2453; R. T. Pflaum and W. W. Brandt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1954, **76**, 6215.
- 10 K. Wieghardt, U. Bossek, B. Nuber, J. Weiss, J. Bonvoisim, M. Corbella, S. E. Vitols and J. J. Girerd, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 7398.
- 11 H. A. Goodwin and R. N. Sylva, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 1967, **20**, 629.
- 12 K. R. Reddy and M. V. Rajasekharan, *Polyhedron*, 1994, **13**, 765.
- 13 B. Mondal, S. Kundu and R. Banerjee, *Polyhedron*, 1997, **16**, 2199.
- 14 S. Mukhopadhyay, S. Chaudhuri and R. Banerjee, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1993, **71**, 2155; R. Banerjee, R. Das and A. K. Chakraborty, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 1992, **17**, 277; *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1991, 987; 1990, 3277.
- 15 M. P. Heyward and C. F. Wells, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1*, 1988, **84**, 815.
- 16 J. H. Baxendale, *Radiat. Res. Suppl.*, 1964, **4**, 114.
- 17 T. E. Jones and R. P. Hamm, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1974, **13**, 1940.
- 18 G. Davies, L. J. Kirschenbaum and K. Kustin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1968, **7**, 146.
- 19 C. F. Wells and D. Mays, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 1968, 665.

Received 9th April 1997; Paper 7/02437A